



## Metadata Sheet: Nutrient Pollution (Indicator No. 4)

Title:	Nutrient Pollution		
Indicator Number:	4		
Thematic Group:	Water Quality		
	River nutrient pollution is caused mainly by agricultural activities (fertiliser use and wastes from livestock), urban wastewater, and atmospheric deposition of nitrogen. Contamination by nutrients (particularly forms of nitrogen and phosphorous) increases the risk of eutrophication in rivers, which can pose a threat to environmental and human health (e.g. algal blooms, decreases in dissolved oxygen, increase in toxins making water unsafe for humans and wildlife, etc.). This indicator considers river pollution from nitrogen and phosphorus. The indicator is based on 2 sub-indicators:		
Rationale:	a) Dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) (sub-indicator 4a) b) Dissolved inorganic phosphorous (DIP) (sub-indicator 4b)		
	These represent the nutrient forms that rapidly contribute to eutrophication and have strong anthropogenic sources.		
	Five risk categories for each sub-indicator were developed based on published national river water quality criteria, with a risk factor of 5 being the highest risk for eutrophication and 1 the lowest. The combined Nutrient Pollution indicator score for each basin was then calculated as the higher of the 2 sub-indicator risk factors.		
Interlinkages:	The river nutrient pollution indicator has linkages with the TWAP LME component. The same river watershed model (NEWS) was used for calculating N and P for both the River Basin and LME components of TWAP. Both of these components used amounts as well as nutrient ratios in the development of sub-indicators and a combined indicator, although the approaches differed due to differences in freshwater and marine ecosystem responses to nutrients. Furthermore, the base year conditions and the scenario for future projections (2030 and 2050) was the same for both components.		
Description:	The river Nutrient Pollution indicator is divided into two sub-indicators corresponding to nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) nutrient forms representative of water quality impairment: dissolved inorganic N and P (DIN, DIP). Each sub-indicator represents annual-scale mean river nutrient concentration for the entire basin. This assessment is originally derived for Global NEWS 2 basins (Mayorga et al, 2010; Seitzinger et al, 2010) by assuming that the mean annual concentration at the basin mouth (where the mainstem river drains to the coast or to endorheic terminal points) is representative of river channel concentrations across the basin.  Concentration values for TFDD river basins are calculated based on spatial intersection with Global NEWS 2 basins, as described under "Computation". The Global NEWS 2 model run used here is referred to as the Realistic Hydrology Model Run for reference year 2000 (RH2000), and corresponds to near-contemporary conditions using the year 2000 as a reference for all basin model inputs and forcings (Mayorga et al, 2010). RH2000 is based on observed climate forcings and river		
	discharge corrections from river gage observations, and was developed to represent contemporary conditions more realistically than the year-2000 reference model run used in the Millennium Ecosystem Scenarios (MEA) assessment presented in Seitzinger et al. (2010) and related publications.		
	For future projections (2030 and 2050), model inputs and forcings were based on the Global Orchestration (GO) scenario of the MEA (Seitzinger et al. 2010). The GO scenario is an internally consistent, plausible global future and focuses on implications for ecosystem services. The forcing data include not only climate change, hydrology,		





	water use, population, and GDP, among others but also nutrient management options for agriculture (crop and livestock) and sewage treatment. GO describes a globalized world with a focus on economic development with rapid economic and urbanization growth, and a reactive environmental management.
Metrics:	Average annual river yields of DIN and DIP were calculated using the Global Nutrient Export from WaterSheds 2 (NEWS 2) model. Output is in kg N or P per year normalized by basin area. Results output is average for the basin, but most input data sets (for sources of pollutants) are calculated at 0.5 degree grids. River concentrations were then calculated as yield divided by water runoff. Basin area - part of NEWS inputs based on STN30 watershed delineations, presented in km2. The Computation section below describes processing steps and data sources in more detailSub indicators are based on global river nutrient export modeling results from the Global NEWS group, published in 2010 as Global NEWS 2. More information can be found in the two publications listed below and on the Global NEWS web site: http://www.marine.rutgers.edu/globalnews/
	- Mayorga, E., S.P. Seitzinger, J.A. Harrison, E. Dumont, A.H.W. Beusen, A.F. Bouwman, B.M. Fekete, C. Kroeze and G. Van Drecht. 2010. Global Nutrient Export from WaterSheds 2 (NEWS 2): Model development and implementation. Environmental Modelling & Software 25: 837-853, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.01.007
	- Seitzinger, S.P., E. Mayorga, A.F. Bouwman, C. Kroeze, A.H.W. Beusen, G. Billen, G. Van Drecht, E. Dumont, B.M. Fekete, J. Garnier and J.A. Harrison. 2010. Global river nutrient export: A scenario analysis of past and future trends. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 24: GB0A08, doi:10.1029/2009GB003587
	The sub-indicator annual-scale nutrient concentrations for TFDD basins were calculated by transferring nutrient model output created for Global NEWS 2 using a slightly modified version of the STN-30p version 6.01 30-minute (0.5 degree) global river system and basins dataset (Mayorga et al, 2010). The computational procedures used are as follows:
	1. The final TFDD basin-scale dataset (updated and distributed for the TWAP project in February 2014, as the shape file "RiverBasins_ver_1_20140215.shp") was reprojected to a "geographic" projection (EPSG:4326) as preparation for GIS overlay with NEWS 2 STN-30p basins. In addition, small inconsistencies in geometric type representations (single polygon vs. multi-polygon features, and presence of "geometry collection" types) were corrected during this pre-processing. The TFDD dataset contains 286 transboundary river basins.
Computation:	2. To enable the transfer of attributes and data from NEWS 2 basins to TFDD basins, the NEWS 2 STN-30p basins polygon dataset was spatially intersected with the final TFDD basins polygon dataset. The result was 1,881 individual component polygons, each polygon having core basin attributes from the two original GIS datasets. Three very small TFDD basins (CONV/Conventillos, 7km2; ELNA/EI Naranjo, 24km2; PDNL/Pedernales, 320km2) had no intersecting NEWS 2 STN-30p basin, and therefore could not be assigned nutrient results. A brief quality assessment of the dataset spatial overlay is presented under Additional Notes.
	3. NEWS 2 basin-scale data was transferred to TFDD basins as area-weighted means of the component NEWS 2-derived basin polygons for each TFDD basin (from step #2, above). These annual-scale NEWS 2 attributes are: actual runoff (river discharge at the mouth normalized by NEWS 2 basin area), and nutrient yields (river nutrient form loads at the mouth normalized by NEWS 2 basin area) for the each of the 2 nutrient forms (dissolved inorganic N (DIN) and dissolved inorganic P (DIP)).
	4. Once NEWS 2 attributes were transferred to TFDD basins, sub-indicator (DIN, DIP) nutrient concentrations (mg N/L or mg P/L) were calculated by dividing the



Units:

Scoring system:

>0.01 and ≤0.03

>0.03 and ≤0.10

2

3

Low

Moderate



			UNEP
nutrient concentration TFDD basins that had not be calculated direct 5. Out of the 286 tran and thus while include "lower confidence" conditions are true, th 1) TFDD basin area <	was not calculated no intersection and was less boundary based in the maps flagging is the flower confiction, 20,000 km2;	ulated and was left ng NEWS 2 basin eft as a Null value. sins, 153 were cla s, are not included the result of four dence in results' fla	
percentage) made up 3) the intersection of geographical overlap/	of < 10 0.5-de the TFDD ba overlay with essment of the	egree grid cells; Isin with the NEW Ithat basin amount	S basin (largest contributing area S/STN30 basin with the largest ts to < 50% of the area of this oincidence between TFDD and
4) <60% of the TFD NEWS 2 (following a watersheds made up	D basin is contained analysis do of < 10 0.5 □ of at higher lat	one by the origina c 0. 5□ grid cells (a	d) by NEWS/STN30 basins. In I STN-30p dataset developers), approximately 25,000 km2 at the ed to have lower confidence in
basin area of 22,185 NEWS 2 to TFDD between TFDD and N	km2. Additio	nal uncertainty in	ly relatively small, with a median the transferring of results from s or quality of spatial overlays
mg N/L or mg P/L			
the DIN and for the D	OIP sub-indica	ators. Published r	5 relative risk categories for national water quality criteria stration ranges in each
	ies for a basi		1-5, the higher of the 2 sub- e combined nutrient (NP)
For DIN:			
Conc. Range mg N/L	Relative risk category	Description	
≤0.15	1	Very low	
>0.15 and ≤0.50	2	Low	
>0.50 and ≤1.00	3	Moderate	
>1.00 and ≤2.00	4	High	
>2.00	5	Very high	
For DIP:			
Conc. Range mg P/L	Relative risk category	Description	
≤0.01	1	Very low	
0.04   0.00	_		





	>0.10 and ≤0	50	4	∐igh		
				High		
	>0.50 5 Very high					
	Summary of Nutrient Pollution indicator results can be seen in the table below:				elow:	
	Relative risk category	Range (normalized score)	No. of Basins	Proportion of Basins	No. of BCUs	Proportion of BCUs
	1 - Very low	-	7 (1*)	2%	-	-
	2 - Low	-	107 (65*)	38%	-	-
	3 - Moderate	-	77 (25*)	28%	-	-
	4 - High	-	59 (34*)	21%	-	-
	5 - Very high	-	30 (22*)	11%	-	-
	* Number of basins/BCUs for which results have been calculated, but bear a lower level of confidence due to modeling limitations. See more in section 'Computation'					
	No BCU level results are provided for this indicator. See more information under section 'Additional notes'.					
	results with "low confidence" due primarily to their small size.  3. Indicator only considers N and P concentrations, and doesn't consider factors such as hydrology that can affect ecosystem response to nutrients.					
Limitations:						ied as having
						r factors such
Spatial Extent:	Global					
Spatial Resolution:	Calculations are based on the polygon representation of basins draining to the coast or to endorheic terminal points. The spatial resolution is therefore highly variable. The raster resolution of the basins dataset used and of the majority of original model drivers is 0.5 degrees.					
Year of Publication:	2010 (publication of original, source Global NEWS 2 results that supported the TWAP analyses)					
Time Period:	2000 (2030 and 2050 for projections)					
	1. Only TFDD basin-scale results are provided; as the scale of the STN-30p basin definitions is coarser than that of TFDD basins, operating only with TFDD basin units (and not basin country units).  2. Most GIS operations were carried out using PostGIS, the spatial extension of the open-source PostgreSQL Relational Database Management System.					
Additional Notes:	3. It is possible that NEWS 2 STN-30p basins could intersect only a small fraction of TFDD basin, resulting in poorly supported mean nutrient concentration sub-indicator. This possibility was examined. Excluding the 3 very small TFDD basins (CONV/Conventillos, 7km2; ELNA/El Naranjo, 24km2; PDNL/Pedernales, 320km2) with no intersecting NEWS 2 STN-30p basin, all but 1 TFDD basins have > 50% of their area covered by NEWS 2 basins, and all but 10 TFDD basins have > 80% of the area covered by NEWS 2 basins. Other assessments of reliability of basin-intersection and attribute transfer were generated.				b-indicators.  320km2) > 50% of > 80% of their	
Date:	16.02.2015.					
Format:	•	emplate provided		•		
File Name:	TWAP_RB_indicator_4_results.xlsx (Combined single indicator), TWAP_RB_indicator_4a_results.xlsx (DIN sub-indicator), and TWAP_RB_indicator_4b_results.xlsx (DIP sub-indicator)					





Contact person:	Emilio Mayorga
Contact details:	mayorga@apl.washington.edu University of Washington, Seattle, USA